

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report  
For CDRU # 6345 Case file # 95-251534.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in guilty plea. No transcript.

CRM - 6178

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: David W. Hall

Area(s) of Expertise: Forensic Botany

Review commenced at: 1:20 PM (Time), 22 Sept. 2004 (Date)

File #: 95-251934

Laboratory #(s): 20927082 SUK UL QX RR  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>Malone (RR)</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: None

Testimony Date(s): 2 Pages: \_\_\_\_\_

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 20927082 SUK UL QX Date: 8 Nov. 1982  
 Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ RR Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Examiner Bench Notes of: Malone (RR)

Laboratory Number: 20927082 SUK UL QX RR  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Was any other material reviewed?  Yes  No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

### Results of Review

File #: 93-251534 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine

#### Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: PWR

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.  
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-251534

- 1) Notes do not mention equipment, resources, Techniques.  
Log of how samples packaged, but no condition or number of pieces in sample. In several instances slides were made: - of what? How is evidence stored in lab?
- 2) Remarks reflect <sup>bench</sup> lab notes, but lab notes are superficial. Each piece/fragment/part should be mentioned with result.

Review completed at: 1:45 (Time), 22 Sept. 2004 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.5

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 28 pages.

David W. Hall 22 Sept, 2004  
(Signature) (Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: DWH